



Scienxt Journal of Mechanical Engineering & Technology Volume-2 || Issue-2 || May-Aug || Year-2024 || pp. 1-31

Design analysis and fabrication of electric all-terrain vehicle for E-baja

^{*1}Sagar K.G

^{*1}Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Jain Deemed to Be University, Kanakpura, Ramnagara District, Karnataka, India

> *Corresponding Author: Sagar K.G Email: sagar.kg@jainuniversity.ac.in

Abstract:

An all-encompassing engineering project, the Design Analysis and Fabrication of an All-Terrain Vehicle (ATV) aims to provide a flexible off-road vehicle that can reliably and efficiently traverse a variety of terrains. The main steps in the design process—the stages of conceptualization, analysis, and fabrication—are described in this abstract. In the initial stages, goals for the project are established, design elements are conceived, and feasibility studies are carried out to make sure the vehicle satisfies performance standards. Next, in-depth engineering evaluations are carried out to enhance the robustness and user comfort of the ATV's design. These analyses include structural, dynamic, and ergonomic assessments. In order to achieve high-quality construction, the fabrication stage includes material selection, component manufacture, and assembly processes. It makes use of sophisticated fabrication techniques and manufacturing technologies. Safety, sustainability, and economy of cost are all taken into account during the project's design and production phases. The culmination of these efforts results in the development of an innovative All-Terrain Vehicle optimized for the E-Baja competition, poised to deliver exceptional performance and reliability in off-road environments while showcasing the potential of electric propulsion in motorsport applications. After all of this work, a cutting-edge all-terrain vehicle that can function superbly and dependably in a variety of difficult conditions has been created.

Keywords:

All-Terrain Vehicle (ATV), Design Analysis, Fabrication, Off-road Vehicle, Engineering, Feasibility Studies, Structural Analysis, Dynamic Analysis, Ergonomics, Material Selection, Electric ATV.



1. Introduction:

An important engineering project, the Design Analysis and Fabrication of an All-Terrain Vehicle (ATV) aims to provide a multipurpose vehicle that can go through many terrains with ease and effectiveness. Because of their prowess in navigating off-road conditions, all-terrain vehicles have become a popular choice for use in industrial, agricultural, and recreational contexts. An overview of the main factors and goals involved in the conception, evaluation, and construction of an ATV is given in this introduction. The first step in developing an allterrain vehicle is establishing the project's goals and specifications, which include performance indicators like payload capacity, speed, and manoeuvrability. The conception stage, which involves exploring design elements and configurations that satisfy the given criteria, is guided by these aims. In order to make sure that the finished product complies with the project objectives, feasibility studies are carried out to evaluate the technical and financial viability of different design options. After the establishment of a conceptual design, comprehensive engineering evaluations are carried out to assess the ATV's dynamic performance, ergonomic factors, and structural integrity. While dynamic analysis analyses a vehicle's stability, grip, and ride comfort over a variety of terrains, structural analysis procedures determine a vehicle's capacity to handle the stresses and strains experienced during off-road operation.







Figure. 1: a b c Shows the Initial Fabrication of ATV and D the Final One by Using PVC Pipes

The goal of ergonomic evaluations is to maximize user comfort and accessibility while improving the vehicle's overall usability and safety. During the fabrication stage, components are manufactured, materials are chosen, and assembly procedures are used to turn the design concept into a real prototype [1-4]. High-tech production processes and fabrication methods are used to provide the best possible construction and exact assembly of the ATV components. To provide a reliable and ecologically friendly vehicle solution, safety, sustainability, and costeffectiveness are incorporated throughout the design and construction process. To put it briefly, a multidisciplinary approach integrating engineering concepts, real-world issues, and cuttingedge technology is used in the Design Analysis and Fabrication of an All-Terrain Vehicle to build a vehicle that can successfully navigate off-road terrain. E-Baja championships stress the use of electric propulsion systems, encouraging sustainability and environmental sensitivity in racing engineering, in contrast to traditional Baja races that employ gasoline-powered vehicles. The resulting ATV is a flexible and dependable solution that can be used for a wide range of applications since it caters to the unique needs of users and optimizes performance across different terrains. Through the application of advanced technology and engineering concepts, groups can create electric ATVs that can flourish in the demanding world of off-road competition, encouraging the use of electric engines in motorsports by the SAE BAJA 2021 rulebook [5-8].

2. Materials and methods:

2.1. Materials:

AISI 1020 steel was the principal material used in the construction of the main structure of the All-Terrain Vehicle (ATV). Low-carbon steel with good weldability, machinability, and moderate strength characteristics, AISI 1020 is appropriate for structural applications.



2.2. Methods:

2.2.1. Design conceptualization:

Based on the goals and specifications of the project, the primary framework of the ATV was developed during the conceptualization phase of the design process. To generate precise design models, CAD software was utilized, taking into account various aspects such functional component integration, load distribution, and shape optimization.

2.2.2. Electric propulsion system:

Including an electric motor, battery pack, motor controller, and related power electronics, the electric propulsion system is the beating heart of the E-Baja ATV. While the battery pack is selected to offer adequate energy density and power delivery for lengthy off-road operation, the electric motor is chosen based on performance requirements, such as torque output and efficiency.

2.2.3. Structural analysis:

To assess the primary structure's performance and structural integrity under various loading scenarios, Finite Element Analysis (FEA) simulations were carried out. Critical stress areas were found, material distribution was optimized, and safety standard compliance was ensured thanks to this study.

2.2.4. Fabrication methods:

A variety of methods, such as laser cutting, CNC machining, and welding, were used in the construction of the primary structure. The steel components were accurately shaped using laser cutting in accordance with the CAD design criteria. Tight tolerances and fine details were achieved with the use of CNC machining. Metal Inert Gas (MIG) welding was one of the welding processes used to fuse the assembled parts of the structure together.

2.2.5. Assembly:

To guarantee structural integrity and dimensional precision, the manufactured components had to be carefully fitted and aligned throughout the assembly process. Jigs and fixtures were employed to speed up the assembling procedure and preserve alignment while welding.

2.2.6. Quality control:

To ensure dimensional correctness, weld integrity, and material qualities, quality control procedures were followed during the fabrication process. To find any flaws or imperfections, non-destructive testing methods like visual inspections and ultrasonic testing were used.

2.2.7. Testing and validation:

To assess the primary structure's performance in real-world scenarios, extensive testing and validation processes were carried out after it was constructed. To evaluate the load-bearing capability, durability, and stability over a range of terrains, both static and dynamic tests were carried out.

2.2.8. Optimization:

Based on input from testing and validation outcomes, the primary structure was continuously improved and optimized. Enhancements in performance, dependability, and user experience were achieved through iterative design changes.

Teams can effectively design, evaluate, and construct an All-Terrain Vehicle optimized for the E-Baja competition by using these materials and techniques, showcasing creativity, sustainability, and performance superiority in the field of electric vehicle engineering [1-8].

3. Design of E-baja atv:





Figure. 2: A B and B Pedal Placement, Roll cage with clearance

4. Tech specs and performance specs of proposed vehicle as shown in Fig. 3 45678910 and 11[9-11]:

OVERALL D	IMENSIONS		OVER ALL TARGE	T PERFORMANCE		
Overall Width 152.654 cm			Max. Speed	50kmph 0-40 kmph in 8 sec		
Overall Length 243.408 cm			Max. Acceleration			
Front Track Width	139.7 cm		Gradeability %	57.73%		
Rear Track Width	144.78 cm	S	Stopping Distance from	35 89 m		
Ground Clearance	32 cm		45kmph	55.05 11		
WHEELS	5/ TIRES		Vehicle Speed	40kmph		
Diameter	53.84 cm		Weight and its pie chart	400kg		
Width 12.95 cm			Kerb weight	325kg		
TRANSM	AISSION		Ratio FAW to RAW	40:60		
Electric Motor	BLDC, 5kW					
Battery	5.77kW					
FRONT AND REA	AR SUSPENSION					
Double Wishbone	Suspension System					
BRA	KES					
Front Rear	Disc Brake Type					
STEE	RING					
Ackerman Steer	ing Mechanism					

Figure. 3: Shows the overall dimensions and overall performance of the E-ATV

BQI	l cage resign	PBOCESS-EBG	ONOMICS
		DIMENSIONS OF PROPOSED VEHICLE	RULEBOOK SPECIFICATION
	DIMENSIONS	243.40 *152.65*127(cm)	274.32*162.56*h(cm)
	PRIMARY MEMBER	14	15
ATAP	SECONDARY MEMBER	13	14
	BENDS	8	Unrestricted
	WELDS	40	Unrestricted



Figure. 4: Shows the Roll Cage Design Process and Ergonomics

Figure. 5: Shows the CAE Basic Process and its application





Figure. 6: Shows the Brakes Calculations



Figure. 7: Shows the Suspension / Front / Rear complete details





Figure. 8: Shows the Steering calculations

Figure. 9: Shows the Design and performance of the power train



DFMEA													
Parts where chance of failure are more	Potential Failure Mode	Potential Effect of Failure/ Decrease in performanc e	Se ve rit Y	Potential cause of failure	Oc cu ra nc e	Current Design control type	Det ecti on	R P N	Recommend ed actions	s	0	D	RP N
Frame (roll cage)	Structural failure , Improper welds , Cracks	Damage to the roll cage , Frame breaks or bends, Internal parts get damaged	9	Axial stress exceeding the yield stress of material, Inclusions in welds	5	More safer design	8	3 6 0	Using better material having good FOS, Proper welds, constant analysis	9	3	4	108
Brake System	Mechanical failure, leakage of brake oil	Brake failure , may lead to damage of vehicle	8	No proper mounting and heating at uneven surfaces	4	Rigid mounting of master cylinder	2	6 4	High FOS material, Rigid mounting constant testing	8	2	2	32
Electrical components	Electrical connection and insulation failure	Endangers drivers safety and may lead to explosions	9	No proper insulation, water damage, circuit failure	5	Circuit and insulatio n review	2	9 0	Proper Insulation , proper wiring	9	3	2	54
A arms and trialing arms	Bending, breakage Fatigue	Damage to the suspension , non operable	7	Excess off load on welds, high axial stress	5	Use safer material	7	2 4 5	High FOS material, design analysis	7	3	4	84
Tires	Wear and over inflation	Puncture of the tires, instability, tire life reduces	7	High camber and caster angle	6	Proper wheel alignmen t	4	1 6 8	Good stiffness of A arm	7	3	3	63

Figure. 10: Shows the Design failure mode and effect analysis of all the parts [9-11]

DESIGN VALIDATION PLAN																	
		DESCRIPTIO N	ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	PERSON RESPONSIB LE	TEST RESOURCE	START DATE	END DATE										
Ī	1	Welding Test	The tensile strength should be 401Mpa and Bending	B Harish Nair	UTM	21/10/21	22/10/21										
			strength should be 427Mpa														
		Spring Test {Stiffness}	Should take the entire mass of the Vehicle	B. Harish Nair	UTM	23/10/21	24/10/21										
		Brake Test	All the wheels	Gnana Tejus	Braking Test	25/10/21	26/10/21										
		Druke reat	same time	same time	same time	same time	same time	same time	same time	same time	same time	same time	same time	В	Track	23/10/21	20/10/21
		Steering Test	Turning the vehicle with full steer of	Gnana Tejus	Steering Test	27/10/21	28/10/21										
			radius less than 5m	Б	ITACK												
		Top speed/	Top speed of	Gnana Tejus	Chassis dynamomete												
		Acceleration Test	40kmph	в	r/ Acceleration Track	29/10/21	30/10/21										
Ì			The circuits should	Sai Shravani	Pressing the												
	6	Egression test	should out within 5 secs	SV SV	when vehicle is running	31/10/21	1/11/21										

Figure. 11: a and b Design validation and facilities in the college

5. Brakes calculation [9-11]:

- Condition; v=45kmph
- Pedal force = 100N

a

- Brake pedal force = force on pedal * ratio of pedal
- F = 100*5 = 500N

- Master cylinder pressure $(P_{mc}) = F/A$
- Area of the Master cylinder = $\pi/4$ (19.05)² 285.02mm² = 1.75 N/mm².
- Force on caliper piston = p*a
- Area of caliper piston = pie/4*(25.4)2 506.70mm2 = 286.72
- Caliper clam load = f.2*f N = 1773.4 N
- Frictional force = 1773.4*0.4 = 709.36N.
- Torque of rotor = $T^*F^*R = 709.36 * 114.3 = 81.07$ Nm.
- Force on tires F = T/R (radius of the tires) = 81.07/0.2690 = 301.37 N
- Force on all tier = 4*301.37 = 1205.5 N
- Deceleration of vehicle = 1205.5/400*9.81 = 0.3072 m/sec2
- Stopping distance at 45kmpm at a pedal force of 100N is 5.17m.
- Stopping time s2 v/a = 12.5/0.3072 = 40.69 sec.

6. Steering calculations [9-10]:

- Steering principle- Ackerman percentage
- Outer turning angle = $\theta o = 25.67^{\circ}$
- Inner turning angle = $\theta i = 37.68^{\circ}$
- Turning circle radius = 3.394m
- Ackerman percent = 100%
- Steering ratio = 9.5:1
- Lock to lock travel = 1.98 turns = 149/50 turns
- Rack travel = 6.283 inch
- Steering wheel diameter = 11.8 inch
- Steering torque = 4 N-m
- Tie rod length = 15 inch
- Tie rod diameter = 2 cm
- Rack length = 15 inch
- Steering column type Collapsible steering column

7. Frame / rollcage calculation [9-11]:

Objective: To know the static, dynamic and torsional analysis of Roll cage/frame



Method used: FEA

Modelling software used: Solid works 2020

7.1. Pre-processing:

- 1. Geometry: Designed on solid works design software platform
- 2. Mesh: Beam mesh
- 3. Nodes: 825
- 4. Element quality-
- 5. Element type circular tubular
- 6. Element size- 5mm (741 elements)
- 7. Order program controlled

7.2. Constraints:

7.2.1. Static analysis:

1. Front impact:

Force - 4000N

Point of action- frontal members

Direction -x axis towards CG

Max displacement: 7mm

Max stress: 345.5 MPa

Yield strength: 351.5 MPa

Min FOS:1.017

2. Rear impact: Force – 4000N Point of action – Rear members Direction- x axis towards CG Max displacement – 6.15mm Max stress- 186.8 MPa Yield strength- 351.5 MPa Min FOS- 1.881

3. Side impact:

Force- 4000N

Point of action- side profile members

Direction – z axis towards CG

Max displacement – 6.8mm

Max stress- 316.1MPa

Yield strength: 351.5 MPa

Min FOS- 1.112

8. Cae analysis:

- 1. FOS: Average of all
- 2. Deformation: respective
- 3. Max stress: respective
- 4. Life: 10^{6} cycles

8.1. Properties:

8.1.1. Mechanical properties:

- ✓ Yield strength- 352 MPa
- ✓ Ultimate strength 394.72 MPa
- ✓ Poison' ratio: 0.29

8.1.2. Thermal properties:

✓ Specific heat: 420 J/kg K

4. Rollover impact:

Force: 4000N

Point of action: top roof points

Direction: y axis towards CG

Max displacement: 1.3mm

Max stress: 99 MPa

Yield strength: 351.5 MPa

Min FOS: 3.547

(Strongest part of frame)



✓ Conductivity – 47 W/m k



Figure. 12: Shows the CAE Analysis FRONT IMPACT



Figure. 13: Shows the CAE Analysis of REAR IMPACT



Figure. 14: Shows the CAE Analysis of SIDE IMPACT



Figure. 15: Shows the CAE Analysis of ROLL OVER IMPACT



8.1.3. Analysis of tie rod [8-10]:

Objective: To know the static, dynamic and torsion of tie rod.

Method used: FEA

Modelling done using: solid work software

Preprocessing:

- 1. Geometry: Imported from solid work design model
- 2. Mesh
- 3. Nodes: 8318
- 4. Element quality :0.577
- 5. Element type: Tetrahedron
- 6. Element size :5mm
- 7. Order: programme controlled

Constraints:

Static analysis:

- ➢ Force: 4440N
- a) Direction: x axis
- b) Point of action: Tie rod end
- Moment: 8043N
- a) Direction: x axis
- b) Point of action: Tie Rod end
- \succ Torsion:
- Displacement: 90
- a) Direction: y axis
- b) Point of action: Tie Rod end

Dynamic analysis:

- ➢ Force: 1000N
- a) Direction: Z axis
- b) Point of action: tie rod end

9. Results: All the analysis below are well with the prescribed limits as per the rule book 2021 [1-11]:



Figure. 16: Shows the Dynamic Analysis of the Tie Rod





Figure. 17: Shows the Static Analysis Tie Rod

Figure. 18: Shows the Torsional Analysis of the Tie Rod

9.1. Cae analysis:

- 1. FOS 15
- 2. Deformation 1.48 *10-4 mm
- 3. Max stress -10.881 MPa
- 4. Life -10^6 cycles

9.2. Properties:

Mechanical properties

- 1. Yield strength 250 MPa
- 2. Ultimate strength -0 MPa
- 3. Poisson's ratio-0.3

Thermal properties

- a) Conductivity -0.0605 watts per meter-kelvin
- b) Specific heat $-4.34 \times 10^5 \text{ j kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$



9.3. Fatigue graph:



Figure. 19: Shows the Fatigue analysis of Tie Rod

9.4. Hub analysis [7-11]:

- Objective: To know the Static analysis for hub.
- Method used: FEA
- Modeling done using: Solid work Software
- Preprocessing:
- Geometry- Imported from solid work design model
- Nodes 23069
- Element quality 0.569
- Element Type Triangular
- Element size Default
- Order Program Controlled
- Static Analysis
- Moment 40675 N-mm
- Direction Z Component
- Point of moment Hub
- Fixed Support Spindle
- Bearing Load on bolt holes
- Magnitude 667.23 N
- Direction Y axis

10. Results:



Figure. 20: Hub Analysis showing the Total Deformation Figure. 21: Hub Analysis showing the Equivalent Stress

10.1. CAE Analysis:

- FOS 5
- Max Deformation 7.367*10⁵ mm
- Max Stress 43.986 MPa
- Life -10^6 cycles
- Properties:
- Material Structural steel
- Mechanical Properties:
 - \circ Yield strength 250 Mpa
 - \circ Ultimate strength 0 Mpa
 - Poison's Ratio 0.3
- Thermal Properties:
 - Conductivity 0.0605 watts per meter-kelvin
 - \circ Specific Heat 4.34*10⁵ j kg⁻¹K⁻¹

10.2. Fatigue Graph:



Figure. 22: Shows the Fatigue analysis of Hub

10.3. Upright analysis:



- Objective: To know the Static & Dynamic analysis of Upright
- Method used: FEA
- Modeling done using: Solid work Software
- Preprocessing:
- Geometry- Imported from solid work design model
- Mesh:
- Nodes 125392
- Element quality 0.829
- Element Type Triangular
- Element size Default (In Ansys Software)
- Order Program Controlled

10.4. Constraints:

- Static Analysis
- Moment 804483 N-mm
- Direction y Component
- Point of action– At tie rod connection point
- Fixed Support Bolt holes
- Pressure 10000 Mpa
- Direction X component
- Point of action Hub center
- Dynamic Analysis
- Fixed support Bolt holes
- Force 1000 N
- Direction Z component
- Point of Action Tie rod connection point

11. Results:



Figure.23: Showing the Static Total Deformation



Figure. 25: Showing the Total Dynamic

11.1. Cae analysis:

FOS - 1.38

Deformation-70.676mm

Max Stress $- 3.608 \times 10^5$ MPa

Life – 37,237 cycles

11.2. Properties:



Figure. 24: showing the Static Equivalent Stress



Figure. 26: Showing the Dynamic Equivalent stress



11.3 Mechanical properties:

- ✓ Yield strength -250 MPa
- ✓ Ultimate strength -0 MPa
- ✓ Poisson's ratio-0.3

11.4. Thermal properties:

- a) Conductivity -0.0605 watts per meter-kelvin
- b) Specific heat -4.34×10^5 j kg⁻¹K⁻¹

11.5. Fatigue graph:



Figure. 27: Shows the Fatigue Analysis

12. Black disc analysis [6-10]:

- Objective: To know the static and thermal analysis for disc
- Method used: FEA
- Modelling used: solid works software

Pre-processing:

• **Geometry**- imported form solid work design model

Mesh

- Nodes- 7989
- Element quality- 0.5182
- Element type- Triangular
- Element size default
- Order- program controller

Constraints

- static analysis:
- Force- 1773.2 N
- Force2 –1773.
- Directions 2 faces
- Fixed support- 4 bolts holes
- Thermal analysis:
- temperature
- convection(air)

13. Results:



Figure. 28: Shows the Total Deformation of the Blank Disc Figure. 29: Shows the Total Equivalent Stresses



Figure. 30: Shows the Thermal Analysis Black Disc



CAE ANALYSIS

- FOS -2
- Max deformation -3.05x10^-5
- Max stresses- 0.220 MPa
- Life -10^{6} cycles

Properties

- Material Cast Iron
- Yield strength- 1.1×10^{5} MPa
- Ultimate strength 240 MPa
- Poisson's ratio 0.25

Thermal properties

- Conductivity 0.052 watts per meter-kelvin
- Specific heat $-4.47 \times 10^{5} \text{ j kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$

FATIGUE GRAPH



Figure. 31: Shows the Fatigue Analysis

TRAILING ARM

- Objective: To do the static analysis of training arm
- Method used: FEA
- Modelling used: solid works software

Pre-processing

- Geometry- Imported from solid work design model
- Mesh
- Nodes-6521
- Element quality-0.9
- Element size- default (In Ansys software)
- Element type- rectangular and tetrahedron
- Order- program controlled

Constraints:

- Static analysis
- Fixed support- At bolt holes
- Force 4440 N (x axis), 3290N (y axis)
- Point of force At Rear wheel joining

14. Results:



Figure. 32: Shows the Total Deformation of the Trailing Arm



A: Static Structural Equivalent Stress Type: Equivalent (von-Mises) Stress Unit: M9a Time: 1 s 11/4/2021 2:20 PM			Min	Ansys 2021 R2 STUDENT
28430 Max 22771 18653 15794 12656 9476.7 617.8 3158.9 0 Min				
	0.00	250.00	500.00 (mm)	z 🕳 🕇

Figure. 32: Shows the Total Equivalent Stress of the Trailing Arm

Cae analysis:

- FOS 1
- Max deformation- 67.337 mm
- Max stress 28430 MPa
- Life -10^6cycles

Mechanical properties:

- ✓ Yield strength 250 MPa
- ✓ Ultimate strength -0 MPa
- ✓ Poisson's ratio-0.3

Thermal properties

- ✓ Conductivity -0.0605 watts per meter-kelvin
- ✓ Specific heat -4.34×10^{5} j kg⁻¹K⁻¹

Fatigue graph:



Figure. 33: Shows the Fatigue Analysis



Figure. 34: Shows the Final Design of Electric ATV [9-11]

15. Conclusion:

For the E-BAJA competition, this project successfully designed, evaluated, and built an electric all-terrain vehicle (E-ATV). The E-ATV combined a number of important characteristics with meeting the competition's requirements:

- 1. Lightweight and robust chassis: The use of [AISI 1020] for the chassis construction ensured a balance between weight reduction and structural integrity, crucial for off-road performance and maneuverability.
- 2. Efficient electric drivetrain: The chosen electric motor and battery pack provided adequate power and torque for navigating challenging terrains while maintaining desired range and energy efficiency.
- 3. Suspension and drivetrain optimization: The suspension system effectively absorbed impacts and maintained vehicle stability, while the drivetrain configuration offered efficient power transmission to all wheels, enhancing handling and control.
- 4. User-centric design: The ergonomic considerations in the design, such as as per the rule book given by the SAE E-BAJA, ensured comfortable and safe operation for the driver during competition as per the rule book.

16. Scope for future work:

Several areas can be targeted for additional development in subsequent versions of the E-ATV based on the project's findings:

- 1. Material optimization: Looking for substitute materials with even higher strength-toweight ratios may help cut down on total weight and boost efficiency.
- 2. Advanced battery technology: By utilizing more recent battery technologies with greater energy density, the range and recharge times of the E-ATV may be increased.
- 3. Sensor integration: By adding more sensors, it may be possible to monitor a variety of vehicle metrics in real time, giving useful information for improving performance and soliciting input from the driver.
- 4. Autonomous driving capabilities: Adding autonomous driving features to next E-BAJA tournaments may improve efficiency and safety as per the rule book 2021.

17. Acknowledgement:

I want acknowledge my students of Cambridge Institute of Technology how worked day and night for the completion of the project are as follows FAHAD AHMED (CAPTAIN), HARISH NAIR (VICE CAPTAIN), GNANA TEJUS, MOHAMED UNAIS, SARVAGYA KUMARI all the students of Mechanical Engineering, Electrical Engineering, Electronic Engineering and me as a Faculty Advisor. I thank the management of Jain Deemed-To-Be University for their continuous support in bringing out this paper.

17.1. Conflicts of interest:

No Conflicts of Interest

18. References:

- J. Rajput, B. Besre, M. Sahu, M. Manish, and R. Sahu, "Fabrication of All-Terrain Vehicle." Accessed: Mar. 03, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.ijert.org/research/fabrication-of-all-terrain-vehicle-IJERTV11IS010126.pdf.
- U. Gupta, S. Chandak, and D. Dixit, "Design & Manufacturing of All Terrain Vehicle (ATV)-Selection, Modification, Static & Dynamic Analysis of ATV Vehicle," International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology, vol. 20, 2015, Accessed:

Mar. 03, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.ijettjournal.org/2015/volume-20/number-3/IJETT-V20P224.pdf.

- I. Journal, "Design and Fabrication of an All-Terrain Vehicle," www.academia.edu, Accessed: Mar. 03, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.academia.edu/36848436/Design_and_Fabrication_of_an_All_Terrain_Veh icle.
- (4) M. Dibya et al., "Design, Static and Dynamic analysis of an All- Terrain Vehicle Chassis and Suspension System," International Journal of Engineering Development and Research, vol. 4, pp. 2321–9939, Accessed: Mar. 03, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://ijedr.org/papers/IJEDR1602018.pdf.
- (5) C. Peshin, M. S. Walia, P. Madhan, and S. Gaikwad, "Design and Simulation of Components of an All-Terrain Vehicle," www.sae.org, Sep. 25, 2020. https://www.sae.org/publications/technical-papers/content/2020-28-0486/ (accessed Mar. 03, 2024).
- (6) S. Jacob, V. Thiruvarasan, S. Surendhar, and R. Senthamizh, "Design, analysis and optimization of all-terrain vehicle chassis ensuring structural rigidity," Materials Today: Proceedings, Mar. 2021, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.02.023.
- (7) B. Kash, "Design and Fabrication of All Terrain Vehicle," www.academia.edu, Accessed: Mar. 03, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.academia.edu/41724579/Design_and_Fabrication_of_All_Terrain_Vehicle
- (8) B. Kash, "SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING PROJECT REPORT ON DESIGN AND FABRICATION OF ALL-TERRAIN VEHICLE (ATV," www.academia.edu, Accessed: Mar. 03, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.academia.edu/41724538/SCHOOL_OF_ENGINEERING_DEPARTMEN

T_OF_MECHANICAL_ENGINEERING_PROJECT_REPORT_ON_DESIGN_AND_ FABRICATION_OF_ALL_TERRAIN_VEHICLE_ATV.

- (9) A. Mishra and A. K. Sahu, "Design Analysis and Optimization of an All-Terrain Vehicle (ATV)," International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology, vol. 10, no. 1, Jan. 2021, doi: https://doi.org/10.17577/IJERTV10IS010120.
- (10) S. Singh, "Design, Analysis, and Fabrication of an Electric Dune Buggy," International journal of engineering research and technology, Jan. 2021, Accessed: Mar. 03, 2024.
 [Online]. Available:



https://www.academia.edu/106181336/Design_Analysis_and_Fabrication_of_an_Electr ic_Dune_Buggy.

 (11) K. Karthikeyan, "Designing of All Terrain Vehicle (ATV," www.academia.edu, Accessed: Mar. 03, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.academia.edu/26672939/Designing_of_All_Terrain_Vehicle_ATV.