



Scienxt Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Volume-2 || Issue-2 || May-Aug || Year-2024 || pp. 1-17

# Multimodal deep learning for pulmonary embolism prognosis prediction

# Muhammed Rasin O. M<sup>1\*</sup>, Jayadev R<sup>2</sup>, Subin A<sup>3</sup>, Prof. Rotney Roy Meckamalil<sup>4</sup>

\*1Student Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Mar Athanasius College of Engineering, Kothamangalam, Kerala 2,3,4 Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Mar Athanasius College of Engineering, Kothamangalam, Kerala

> \*Corresponding Author: Muhammed Rasin O. M Email: rasinbinabdulla@gmail.com

# Abstract:

Pulmonary embolism (PE) represents a critical medical condition characterized by the sudden obstruction of the pulmonary artery by blood clots, necessitating prompt intervention. Despite advancements in clinical methods utilizing pixel-centric deep learning models, valuable insights stored in Electronic Health Records (EHRs) often remain untapped. When applying deep learning to classify PE in computed tomography pulmonary angiography (CTPA), significant challenges emerge due to the complexity of CTPA examinations. To address this, integrating clinical and imaging data through multimodal approaches becomes imperative. Leveraging the "RadFusion Dataset," our study explores the efficacy of combining TabNet for clinical data and PENet for imaging data, aiming to surpass existing benchmarks. Advanced fusion techniques are employed to enhance prediction accuracy and interpretability. Feature importance analysis is conducted to develop a real-time prototype for clinical decision support. This project seeks to refine PE prognosis accuracy, bridging the gap between deep learning models and clinical practices, thereby advancing AI applications in medical research and consultations.

# **Keywords:**

Pulmonary Embolism, Deep Learning, Multi- modal fusion, Clinical Decision Support



# 1. Introduction:

Pulmonary embolism (PE) poses a critical threat, marked by the sudden blockage of pulmonary arteries, often initiated by blood clots originating in the legs or other body parts. This obstruction causes severe respiratory and cardiovascular consequences, necessitating a strategic and timely intervention for effective management. A key aspect of this strategy lies in risk stratification, a guiding principle influencing diagnostic and therapeutic choices. In routine clinical scenarios, healthcare practitioners heavily rely on the patient's electronic health record (EHR) to contextualize their interpretation of medical imaging findings. The common practice entails a consideration of either clinical or imaging data. However, prevalent deep learning models in radiology often focus narrowly on pixel-value information, disregarding valuable insights within the broader health record.

Despite the potential demonstrated by deep learning in various medical imaging tasks, applying it to automated pulmonary embolism (PE) classification in computed tomography pulmonary angiography (CTPA) studies presents unique challenges. CTPA examinations, with their larger scale compared to conventional medical imaging tests, demand a more delicate approach. The pixel data related to PE findings represents only a fraction of the extensive 3D CTPA volume. Thus, the fusion of patient EHR data with imaging data emerges as a crucial aspect for efficiency. This introduces the concept of multimodal approaches in medical research.

The integration of multimodal approaches, such as the fusion of clinical and imaging data in PE prediction models, holds immense significance. These models offer a more comprehensive understanding of patient conditions by integrating diverse information sources, thereby enhancing diagnostic accuracy and informing tailored treatment strategies. However, the limited availability of publicly accessible datasets poses a challenge in developing effective PE prediction models. Also most of the prevalent deep learning models are trained on smaller datasets.

The paper "RadFusion" addresses this gap by introducing a multimodal benchmark dataset for pulmonary embolism detection, combining 3D medical imaging with EHR data of 1794 patients. This dataset, by our knowledge is so far the largest multimodal that is publically available. They proposed the idea of exploration of multimodal fusion for the prognosis of pulmonary embolism while the researches are being done for the other popular diseases. Their findings suggest that, rather than focusing solely on advancing medical image representation models, there should be an increased emphasis on enhancing research in the creation of improved multimodal fusion models.

However on a little research, most of the other multimodal fusion models which shows greater performances are often trained and tested on smaller datasets as the limited availability of this confidential medical data is the greatest barrier to the researchers in the area.

This sets the stage for our project, which aims to address specific challenges in pulmonary embolism prognosis through an exploration of one among the best deep learning models in their respective area of performance-particularly TabNet and PENet. Our goal is to extract complicated features from both clinical and medical imaging data, uncovering undiscovered patterns crucial for prognosis. Leveraging the strengths of TabNet and PENet, our proposed deep learning model utilises fusion mechanisms, enhancing overall predictive capability. Our work aims to build an efficient deep learning, evaluating and surpassing the benchmark set by the RadFusion dataset.

In our attempt, we not only seek to develop a robust multimodal fusion model for efficient PE prognosis prediction but also aim to create a prototype tool which can support clinical decision making. This tool harnesses the power of an NLP-based integration using the help of LLM tools to empower healthcare professionals in seamlessly integrating EHR data into the predictive framework. The proposed interface allows doctors to input the EHR report, upload relevant CTPA data, and initiate the model.

#### 2. Related works:

Previous research in pulmonary embolism (PE) detection has primarily focused on utilizing either imaging data or clinical records in isolation, leading to limitations in diagnostic accuracy and interpretability. In response to this, recent studies have explored the integration of Electronic Health Records (EHRs) with imaging data through multimodal fusion techniques.

Several previous studies have explored the application of deep learning techniques for pulmonary embolism (PE) detection using various imaging modalities such as computed tomography pulmonary angiography (CTPA). Tajbakhsh et al. [1] demonstrated the efficacy of 2D convolutional neural networks in PE detection. Subsequent studies have employed various methods ranging from 3D convolutional neural networks to architectures built upon ResNet and DenseNet. Recent advancements in deep learning architectures have led to the development of specialized models for analyzing medical imaging data, offering improved accuracy and efficiency. Huang et al. [5] proposed PENet, a state-of-the-art deep learning model optimized for



pulmonary embolism detection in CTPA images, showcasing superior performance compared to traditional approaches.

Introduced TabNet, a novel deep learning architecture tailored for tabular data, demonstrating its effectiveness in disease prediction tasks with high-dimensional clinical data. As the dataset available from the RadFusion study is presented in a CSV file format, our aim was to improve the predictions using a model that gives state-of-the-art performance in processing tabular data.

Ensemble learning methods have been employed for decision-making and classification tasks. Mienye and Sun [14] conducted a survey on ensemble learning, providing insights into concepts, algorithms, applications, and prospects. In our study, we employed decision-level late fusion strategy using stacking, where several Meta learner models were employed and the one which gave the most accuracy was chosen.

#### 3. Methodology:

In this methodology, we present a comprehensive approach for developing a multimodal fusion model aimed at pulmonary embolism (PE) classification, integrating information from both imaging data and electronic health records (EHR).



Figure. 1: Proposed method

# 3.1. Dataset:

The dataset utilized in this study was obtained from the RadFusion database [7], a comprehensive collection of medical data curated for research purposes, focusing on pulmonary embolism (PE) detection. Approval for dataset access was obtained from Stanford University Medical Center (SUMC) with the necessary ethical clearances from the Stanford Institutional Review Board (IRB). The RadFusion dataset includes both imaging data from CT scans and Electronic Health Records (EHRs) of patients. The dataset provides detailed information on patient demographics, vitals, medications, International Classification of Diseases (ICD) codes, lab test results, and annotations related to PE diagnosis. Annotations include the classification of PE subtypes and the identification of slices containing PE lesions. The dataset was then partitioned into training, validation, and testing sets in an 80% /10%/10% split, ensuring no patient overlap between the sets. The RadFusion dataset serves as a valuable resource for developing and evaluating machine learning algorithms for PE detection. It enables the exploration of multimodal fusion models using both CT scans and patient EHRs, contributing to advancements in medical image interpretation and clinical decision support systems. Researchers can utilize the dataset to study model fairness across different demographic groups and investigate algorithmic bias in medical AI applications.

# **3.1. Data characteristics:**

Table I summarizes the data char- acteristics of the RadFusion dataset, providing statistics for the training, validation, and testing sets. These characteris- tics include the number of studies, patients, slices, and the distribution of positive and negative PE cases. Additionally, vital signs, D-dimer tests, and BMI information are provided, offering insights into patient health records. The dataset is enriched with patient demographics, enabling studies on model fairness and demographic-based analysis.

# **3.2. Image-only model:**

For the image-only model, we employ the PENet architecture, which stands among the forefront of 3D convolutional neural networks (CNNs) for pulmonary embolism (PE) detection. PENet is adept at processing volumetric computed tomography pulmonary angiography (CTPA) scans, leveraging spatial information across multiple slices to detect subtle abnormalities indicative of PE. The architecture comprises four key components: the PENet unit, Squeeze-and-Excitation (SE) block, PENet bottleneck, and PENet encoder.



Multimodal fusion techniques have emerged as a promising strategy to leverage complementary information from diverse data sources, enhancing the robustness and interpretability of predictive models. Chen et al. [9] employed deep learning and machine learning for type 2 diabetes risk prediction with diverse modalities. Zhou et al. [10] proposed a multilayer framework for Alzheimer's detection and progression using multiple modalities (imaging, clinical, etc.) with a strong focus on explainability. The significance of the fusion of Electronic Health Data along with Imaging CTPA data was addressed by Zhou et al.

The PENet unit serves as the fundamental building block, employing 3D convolutions, group normalization, and LeakyReLU activation to extract features from the input data. The SE block enhances feature recalibration by adaptively weighting channel-wise information, promoting discriminative feature learning. Furthermore, the PENet bottleneck aggregates multiple PENet units to form a hierarchical feature extractor, facilitating the representation of complex spatial patterns.

The PENet encoder, consisting of stacked PENet bottlenecks followed by GapLinear activation, culminates in the final prediction. Notably, the depth of the network is optimized through cross-validation, ensuring a balance between model complexity and generalization performance.

In preparation for model training, we preprocess the CTPA image data to enhance model efficacy. Each CT scan undergoes preprocessing steps, including resizing each slice to  $224 \times 224$  pixels, and applying an optimized viewing window centered around pulmonary arteries (window center = 400, window width = 1000). Additionally, we clip Hounsfield Units to the range of -1000 to 900 and normalize each CT scan to be zero-centered. The training strategy incorporates techniques to address class imbalance, such as binary cross-entropy focal loss and up-sampling of positive windows. Data augmentation methods, including random cropping, rotation, and jittering, are employed to improve model robustness.

# 3.3. EHR model:

In developing the EHR model, we deploy the TabNet algorithm, a cutting-edge deep learning framework tailored explicitly for processing tabular data. Distinguished from conventional regression models, TabNet boasts a unique architecture that seamlessly integrates feature selection and decision-making mechanisms, making it particularly adept at managing high-dimensional and sparse input features characteristic of EHR datasets. By leveraging the regularization penalties of L1 and L2, TabNet strikes an optimal balance between model complexity and generalization

capacity, thereby augmenting its proficiency in discerning meaningful patterns inherent in the data.

Furthermore, the EHR data from disparate CSV files are consolidated, followed by an initial training phase wherein a TabNet model is trained. Subsequently, leveraging the feature importance matrix, non-contributing columns are pruned, and the TabNet model is retrained. This iterative process optimizes the model's efficacy by focusing solely on salient features conducive to accurate predictions. Finally, the feature importance analysis is conducted to ascertain the significance of individual features in influencing model predictions, thereby offering valuable insights for clinical decision-making.

#### **3.4. Multimodal fusion:**

In this section, we detail the construction of our multimodal fusion model, which integrates predictions from individual models trained on both imaging data and Electronic Health Records (EHRs). Our primary fusion strategy revolves around late fusion, also known as decision-level fusion, wherein the predictions from individual models are combined using various meta-learning algorithms, including TabNet, XGBoost, and CatBoost. This approach enables us to leverage the complementary strengths of each modality while mitigating their respective limitations, thereby enhancing overall prediction performance.

Late fusion involves aggregating the predictions generated by individual models at the decision level, typically by averaging or applying a weighted combination of the model

| Category      | Sub-category                | Overall       | Train        | Validation   | Test         |
|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| CTPA<br>exams | # of studies                | 1837          | 1454         | 193          | 190          |
|               | # of patients               | 1794          | 1414         | 190          | 190          |
|               | Median # of slices<br>(IQR) | 386<br>(134)  | 385<br>(136) | 388<br>(132) | 388<br>(139) |
| PE            | # of negative PE            | 1111 (60.48%) | 946 (65.06%) | 85 (44.04%)  | 80 (42.10%)  |
|               | # of positive PE            | 726 (39.52%)  | 508 (34.94%) | 108 (55.96%) | 110 (57.89%) |
|               | Central                     | 257 (35.40%)  | 202 (39.76)  | 27 (25.00%)  | 28 (25.45%)  |
|               | Segmental                   | 387 (53.31%)  | 281 (55.31%) | 52 (48.15%)  | 54 (49.09%)  |
|               | Subsegmental                | 82 (11.29%)   | 25 (4.91%)   | 29 (26.85%)  | 28 (25.45%)  |

 Table. 1: Data characteristics of the radfusion dataset

Scienxt Center of Excellence (P) Ltd



| Vitals  | BMI (mean: std)       | 28.37 : 9.65   | 28.36 : 10.03 | 27.11 : 6.78  | 29.60 : 9.22  |
|---------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
|         | Pulse (mean: std)     | 81. 62 : 14.99 | 81.53 : 15.64 | 83.05 : 11.86 | 80.50 : 13.06 |
| D-dimer | D-dimer test<br>taken | 580 (30.62%)   | 461 (30.90%)  | 58 (28.71%)   | 61 (30.50%)   |
|         | D-dimer positive      | 496 (26.18%)   | 389 (26.07%)  | 51 (25.25%)   | 56 (28.00%)   |

| Category   | Sub-category          | Overall      | verall Train |              | Test         |
|------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|            | #  of studies         | 1837         | 1454         | 193          | 190          |
| CTPA exams | # of patients         | 1794         | 1414         | 190          | 190          |
|            | Median $\#$ of slices | 386          | 385          | 388          | 388          |
|            | (IQR)                 | (134)        | (136)        | (132)        | (139)        |
|            | # of negative PE      | 1111(60.48%) | 946~(65.06%) | 85 (44.04%)  | 80 (42.10%)  |
|            | # of positive PE      | 726 (39.52%) | 508(34.94%)  | 108~(55.96%) | 110 (57.89%) |
| PE         | Central               | 257(35.40%)  | 202 (39.76%) | 27~(25.00%)  | 28~(25.45%)  |
|            | Segmental             | 387(53.31%)  | 281~(55.31%) | 52~(48.15%)  | 54 (49.09%)  |
|            | Subsegmental          | 82~(11.29%)  | 25~(4.91%)   | 29~(26.85%)  | 28~(25.45%)  |
| Vitals     | BMI (mean: std)       | 28.37:9.65   | 28.36:10.03  | 27.11: 6.78  | 29.60:9.22   |
|            | Pulse (mean: std)     | 81.62:14.99  | 81.53:15.64  | 83.05:11.86  | 80.50:13.06  |
| D-dimer    | D-dimer test taken    | 580 (30.62%) | 461 (30.90%) | 58 (28.71%)  | 61 (30.50%)  |
|            | D-dimer positive      | 496 (26.18%) | 389~(26.07%) | 51~(25.25%)  | 56~(28.00%)  |

Outputs. We experiment with several late fusion techniques to determine the most effective fusion strategy for our multimodal model. Additionally, we explore the use of ensemble learning methods, such as stacking, to further improve prediction accuracy and robustness.

Algorithm 1 outlines the late fusion process used in our multimodal model. Given the predictions from individual models for a given sample, the algorithm combines these predictions using a specified fusion method, such as simple averaging or weighted averaging based on model performance. The fused prediction is then used as the final output of the multimodal model.

Furthermore, we evaluate the performance of the multimodal fusion model using appropriate metrics, such as accuracy to assess its effectiveness in predicting pulmonary embolism. Through systematic experimentation and analysis, we aim to identify the optimal fusion strategy and Meta-learning algorithm combination that maximizes prediction accuracy while ensuring robustness. The multimodal fusion model represents a significant advancement in leveraging heterogeneous data sources for medical diagnosis, offering potential benefits in enhancing diagnostic accuracy and clinical decision-making in the context of pulmonary embolism detection.

# **3.5.** Clinical decision support interface:

In order to demonstrate the practical application of our multimodal fusion model for clinical

decision support, we developed an interactive interface prototype. This interface serves as a tool for healthcare professionals to input patient data and obtain predictions for pulmonary embolism (PE) risk.

For the Electronic Health Record (EHR) input, we first identify the highest feature importance columns from the

Algorithm 1: Stacking Method for Multimodal Fusion

Input: Training datasets: DEHR, DCTPA

Output: Fused prediction: Pfused

- 1. Train EHR Model: Train the EHR model using the TabNet algorithm on the EHR training dataset DEHR;
- 2. Train CTPA Model: Train the CTPA model using the PENet architecture on the CTPA training dataset DCTPA;
- 3. Generate Predictions: Generate predictions PEHR and PCTPA from the trained EHR and CTPA models, respectively, for the validation/test datasets;
- 4. Combine Predictions: Combine the predictions PEHR and PCTPA to create a combined feature matrix;
- 5. Train Meta-Learner Model: Train a meta-learner model (e.g., XGBoost, CatBoost) on the combined feature matrix to learn the relationship between individual model predictions and the target variable;
- 6. Generate Fused Prediction: Generate the fused prediction Pfused by feeding the predictions from the EHR and CTPA models into the trained meta-learner model;
- 7. Return: Pfused

TabNet model. These columns represent the most influential factors in predicting PE risk based on the EHR data. We then design a set of question-answer-based inputs corresponding to these key features. Healthcare professionals can input patient information through this interface, answering questions related to vital signs, medical history, and other relevant factors.

The interface dynamically processes the user inputs and generates predictions using our multimodal fusion model, thereby eliminating the need to input all the features upon which the



model is trained in. By leveraging both EHR and imaging data, our model provides a comprehensive assessment of PE risk for the given patient. The output is displayed to the user, indicating the predicted likelihood of PE occurrence along with any additional diagnostic insights.

This prototype interface demonstrates the potential of in- tegrating advanced machine learning models into clinical practice for improved decision-making support. By leveraging patient data and state-of-the-art algorithms, healthcare profes- sionals can make more informed decisions and enhance patient care outcomes. Predictions done by the multimodal model align more with the pattern of the actual labels.

#### 4. Result:

#### 4.1. Model performance:

The Multimodal Models integrate both image and EHR data for improved predictive performance. The CatBoost Fusion model outperformed other models with an accuracy of 0.93. It achieved a precision of 0.87 and a recall of 0.95. The F1 score for this model is 0.90, and the AUC is 0.96, indicating excellent discriminatory capability. Overall, the results indi- cate that the CatBoost Fusion model, leveraging multimodal data integration, achieves the highest performance across all evaluated metrics. This is in comparison with other decision level late fusion models using metalearners of XGBoost, TabNet, and CatBoost models. Compared to the RAD Fusion benchmark, our multimodal fusion models demonstrated sig- nificant improvements in accuracy across all evaluated metrics. Specifically, surpassing the benchmark by 5 percentage points. This notable enhancement underscores the effectiveness of our late decision fusion approach in leveraging complementary information from different modalities to enhance predictive performance.



#### Figure. 2: Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) Curve

Fig. 3 showcases the prediction trend for about 40 samples on how the prediction scores can be compared to their actual labels for the PENet model, the TabNet model, and the Multimodal model respectively. It can be understood that the Fig. 3. Prediction trend of different validation samples using different models in comparison to their actual labels



Figure. 3: Prediction trend of different validation samples using different models in comparison to their actual labels

# **4.2. Feature importance:**

Our feature importance analysis revealed key insights into the predictive power of features extracted from the EHR data. Notably, diseases of pulmonary circulation emerged as the most influential feature, with a feature importance score of 0.041. This highlights the critical role of pulmonary health indicators in disease prediction and underscores the importance of monitoring and managing pulmonary-related conditions in clinical settings.

Furthermore, local anesthetics and topical antifungals were identified as important features, with feature importance scores of 0.015 and 0.010, respectively. These findings suggest that medications and treatments related to specific medical conditions play a significant role in predicting disease outcomes, emphasizing the importance of incorporating medication history and treatment plans into predictive models for enhanced accuracy.

Additionally, the inclusion of INR (International Normalized Ratio) as a feature with a feature importance score of 0.005 underscores the relevance of coagulation status in disease prediction, particularly in conditions where blood clotting disorders may influence clinical outcomes. Overall, our feature importance analysis provides valuable insights into the factors driving predictive performance in our EHR- based model, offering clinicians and researchers a deeper understanding



of the underlying mechanisms influencing disease prognosis and treatment outcomes.

The visualization presented in the result section illustrates the alignment between the model's predictions and the actual labels using the validation set. The plot showcases

| Model   | Accura<br>cy | Precisi<br>on | Reca<br>ll | F1<br>Score | AU<br>C |  |
|---|--------------|---------------|------------|-------------|---------|--|
| Image-Only Models   |              |               |            |             |         |  |
| PENet   | 0.77         | 0.81          | 0.73       | 0.77        | 0.84    |  |
| EHR-Only Models   |              |               |            |             |         |  |
| TabNet  | 0.85         | 0.84          | 0.90       | 0.86        | 0.87    |  |
| ElasticNet  | 0.83         | 0.90          | 0.80       | 0.84        | 0.92    |  |
| Multimodal Models   |              |               |            |             |         |  |
| XGBoost<br>Fusion   | 0.88         | 0.83          | 0.94       | 0.86        | 0.85    |  |
| TabNet Fusion   | 0.88         | 0.83          | 0.94       | 0.86        | 0.85    |  |
| CatBoost Fusion   | 0.93         | 0.87          | 0.95       | 0.90        | 0.96    |  |
| VAGINAL ESTROGEN PREPARATIONS Outpatient_VIRALTS ANTVIRALS Outpatient_VIRALTUMORIGENIC VACCINES Outpatient_VIRALTUMORIGENIC VACCINES Outpatient_VIRALTUMORIGENIC VACCINES Outpatient_EYE PREPARATIONS ANT-INCLAR PREPARATIONS ANT-INCLAR PREPARATIONS Outpatient_ADRENERGIC VASOPRESSOR AGENTS Outpatient_ADRENERGIC VASOPRESSOR AGENTS Builbus cordis anomalies and anomalies of cardiac septal closure ANTIVIRALS Outpatient_VAGINAL ESTROGEN PREPARATIONS INT TOPICAL ANTIFUNGALS INCLA ANTIFUNGALS INCLA ANTIFUNGALS INCLA ANTIFUNGALS Outpatient_VAGINAL ESTROGEN PREPARATIONS |              |               |            |             |         |  |

 Table. 2: performance comparison of models

#### Figure. 4: Feature Importance other than Diseases of Pulmonary Circulation

The values of the PENet predictions and TabNet prediction features alongside the corresponding actual labels and predicted probabilities. This graphical representation offers a comprehensive overview of the model's performance, allowing for an intuitive understanding of its predictive

Capabilities. By visually comparing the predicted probabilities with the actual labels, one can assess the model's accuracy and its ability to correctly classify instances.

#### **5. Discussion:**

#### 5.1. Limitations:

- Availability of Multimodal Dataset: One of the pri- mary limitations of this study is the scarcity of pub- licly available multimodal datasets. While multimodal datasets offer a rich source of information and potential insights, they are often challenging to obtain due to Privacy concerns, data access restrictions, and the need for integration across multiple data sources.
- 2) Consistency in Features: Another limitation is the lack of consistency in features across modalities. Different modalities may have varying levels of completeness and consistency, leading to challenges in feature engineering and model training. This inconsistency can introduce bias and affect the performance of multimodal models.
- **3)** Ethical and Regulatory Considerations: Working with multimodal healthcare data involves ethical and regu- latory considerations, including privacy protection, in- formed consent, and compliance with data protection regulations such as GDPR and HIPAA. These consid- erations may limit the accessibility and usability of healthcare datasets for research purposes.

#### 5.2. Further discussion and possible improvements:

#### **5.2.1. Data Collection and Integration:**

Efforts should be made to collect and integrate multimodal data from di- verse sources, including healthcare institutions, research organizations, and data-sharing initiatives. Collabora- tions and partnerships can facilitate access to a broader range of data types, enabling more comprehensive anal- yses and model development.

#### **5.2.2. Feature engineering and harmonization:**

Improving the consistency and quality of features across modalities is essential for the development of robust multimodal models. Standardization protocols, such as ontologies and data



dictionaries, can be employed to ensure uni- formity in data representation and semantics. Advanced feature engineering techniques and data preprocessing methods can help address discrepancies and enhance the compatibility of multimodal features.

#### 5.2.3. Model robustness and generalization:

Enhancing the robustness and generalization of multimodal models is crucial for their real-world applicability. Techniques such as transfer learning, domain adaptation, and model ensembling can leverage knowledge from related tasks or domains to improve performance on target tasks. Additionally, model interpretability methods can provide insights into the decision-making process of multimodal models, enhancing trust and transparency.

#### **5.2.4. Ethical and regulatory compliance:**

Ethical and regulatory considerations must be carefully addressed when working with multimodal healthcare data. Privacy protection, informed consent, data anonymization, and compliance with data protection regulations are critical aspects that require attention to ensure the responsible and ethical use of sensitive patient information.

In conclusion, while multimodal datasets hold great promise for advancing healthcare research and improving patient out- comes, addressing the challenges associated with data avail- ability, consistency, and ethical considerations is essential for realizing their full potential. By overcoming these limitations and implementing appropriate strategies for improvement, multimodal models can play a significant role in personalized medicine, disease diagnosis, and treatment planning.

#### 6. Conclusion:

In this study, we explored various machine learning models for the task of PE detection. We experimented with PENet, TabNet, XGBoost, CatBoost, etc., leveraging both individual modalities and fusion techniques to improve predictive performance. Through comprehensive experimentation and evaluation, several key findings have emerged.

We conducted a thorough performance comparison of different models, evaluating metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and AUC-ROC. Our results indicate that the decision-level fusion (Late fusion) model using the CatBoost meta-learner and the PENet+TabNet individual models performs the best, achieving a high accuracy of 0.93 on the test set II.

This finding suggests that leveraging both PENet and TabNet models in combination with decision-level fusion can significantly enhance the accuracy of PE detection, offering potential benefits for clinical diagnosis and patient care.

However, it's essential to acknowledge the limitations of our study. We faced challenges related to the availability of multimodal datasets and ensuring consistency in the features across different modalities. Additionally, while our best-performing model demonstrates promising results, further research is needed to address model interpretability and generalizability issues.

In conclusion, our study highlights the efficacy of machine learning models and fusion techniques for PE detection. Future research directions may involve refining existing models, incorporating additional features or modalities, and conducting prospective clinical validation studies to assess the real-world performance of these models.

#### 7. Reference:

- Tajbakhsh, Nima, Michael B. Gotway, and Jianming Liang. "Computer- aided pulmonary embolism detection using a novel vessel-aligned multi- planar image representation and convolutional neural networks". Med- ical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention-MICCAI 2015: 18th International Conference, Munich, Germany, October 5-9, 2015, Proceedings, Part II 18. Springer International Publishing, 2015.
- (2) Nima Tajbakhsh, Jae Y. Shin, Michael B. Gotway, Jianming Liang, "Computer-aided detection and visualization of pulmonary embolism using a novel, compact, and discriminative image representation", Med- ical Image Analysis, Volume 58, 2019.
- (3) Yang, Xin et al. "A Two-Stage Convolutional Neural Network for Pulmonary Embolism Detection from CTPA Images." IEEE Access 7 (2019): 84849-84857.
- (4) Noa Cahan, Edith M. Marom, Shelly Soffer, Yiftach Barash, Eli Konen, Eyal Klang, Hayit Greenspan, "Weakly supervised attention model for RV strain classification from volumetric CTPA scans", Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, Volume 220, 2022, 106815.
- (5) Huang SC, Kothari T, Banerjee I, Chute C, Ball RL, Borus N, Huang A, Patel BN, Rajpurkar P, Irvin J, Dunnmon J, Bledsoe J, Shpanskaya K, Dhaliwal A, Zamanian R, Ng



AY, Lungren MP. "PENet-a scalable deep-learning model for automated diagnosis of pulmonary embolism using volumetric CT imaging. NPJ Digit Med. 2020 Apr 24.

- (6) Shih-Cheng Huang, Anuj Pareek, Saeed Seyyedi, Imon Banerjee, and Matthew P Lungren.
   "Fusion of medical imaging and electronic health records using deep learning: a systematic review and implementation guidelines". NPJ digital medicine, 3(1):1-9, 2020.
- (7) Zhou, Y., Huang, S.C., Fries, J.A., Youssef, A., Amrhein, T.J., Chang, M., Baner- jee, I., Rubin, D., Xing, L., Shah, N., Lungren, M.P.: Radfusion: Benchmarking performance and fairness for multimodal pulmonary embolism detection from ct and ehr (2021)
- (8) Miotto, Riccardo, et al. "Deep patient: an unsupervised representation to predict the future of patients from the electronic health records." Scientific reports 6.1 (2016): 26094.
- (9) Chen, Mengyang, et al. "Multimodal early risk prediction for major chronic diseases: A case study of type 2 diabetes." IEEE Access 7 (2019): 183719-183732.
- (10) Zhou, Tong, et al. "A multilayer multimodal detection and prediction model based on explainable artificial intelligence for Alzheimer's dis- ease." Scientific Reports 11.1 (2021): 1-17.
- (11) Arik, Sercan O<sup>\*</sup>., and Tomas Pfister. "Tabnet: Attentive interpretable tabular learning."
   Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence. Vol. 35. No. 8. 2021.
- (12) Chen, Tianqi, and Carlos Guestrin. "Xgboost: A scalable tree boosting system." Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKKD international confer- ence on knowledge discovery and data mining. 2016
- (13) Prokhorenkova, Liudmila, et al. "CatBoost: unbiased boosting with categorical features." Advances in neural information processing systems 31 (2018).
- (14) D. Mienye and Y. Sun, "A Survey of Ensemble Learning: Concepts, Algorithms, Applications, and Prospects," in IEEE Access, vol. 10, pp. 99129-99149, 2022